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Introduction

Every year, millions of Americans lose their jobs or leave the workforce because of a health problem (Hollenbeck 2015). They sacrifice substantial income as a consequence, and only a fraction of that lost income is offset by any cash support they may receive from Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) or other programs (Schimmel and Stapleton 2012).

From an employer’s perspective, profits may suffer because these health problems lead to lower productivity and to higher premiums for benefits like workers’ compensation, private disability insurance, and health insurance (Anand and Ben-Shalom 2017). Federal and state governments lose tax revenues and pay more in disability, health care, and unemployment benefits (Ben-Shalom and Burak 2016).

Employees who receive an evidence-based intervention shortly after they realize that a health problem (new or existing) could force them to leave the workforce are more likely to stay in the workforce and less likely to claim public disability benefits (Franche et al. 2005). Employers can play an essential role in early intervention by referring workers with new health problems to the right resources and services, and by providing accommodations, including job modifications and assistive technologies, that help employees stay on the job. However, the extent to which employers offer assistance to workers with health problems is inconsistent. Some employers adopt programs designed to help them retain workers with health problems, but others do not. Furthermore, employers may choose to treat different employees differently in terms of the assistance they offer.¹

¹ The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and other federal and state regulations have important implications for employers of workers with health problems. For example, the ADA requires employers with 15 or more full-time employees to provide reasonable accommodations to qualified individuals with disabilities, unless doing so would cause “undue hardship” to the employer. Although the literature indicates that the ADA and state anti-discrimination laws significantly increased accommodations to workers with disabilities (Burkhauser et al. 2012), other studies suggest that discrimination and other employer attitudes are still barriers to workers who are struggling to find and keep jobs after experiencing health problems (Shier et al. 2009; Kaye et al. 2012).
We interviewed human resources (HR) professionals at 14 organizations in Arkansas in an effort to understand the most important factors influencing employers’ efforts to support and accommodate workers with health problems. The factors we identified include:

- **The availability of resources**, including money, staff time, and public resources.
- **The ease (or difficulty) of communication** between the affected worker, HR professionals, supervisors, health care providers, insurance companies, and other individuals involved in the employee’s treatment or recovery.
- **The characteristics of the employee and his or her job**, including tenure, performance, roles and duties at work, and type of health condition.

**Resource constraints can impede accommodations**

Employers who had limited access to relevant resources reported more barriers to accommodating and retaining workers with health problems than employers with better access to those resources did.

**Financial resources:** Employers said it cost them a significant amount of money to cover employer-provided short-term disability benefits, modify work equipment, and bring in temporary workers to cover for employees on leave. Of the 14 employers we interviewed, four reported that a lack of financial resources limited their ability to accommodate employees with health problems.

**Staff capacity:** Employers told us they spend a great deal of time accommodating employees with health problems. They pointed out that other employers who have limited staff capacity or are not as dedicated to helping out may not expend the same level of effort, especially for workers whose health problems are particularly difficult to address.

**Other private resources:** Some employers at well-resourced organizations offered additional services and supports to provide timely accommodations, including:

- **Float pools and temporary workers** to reduce the burden on supervisors and other employees when a worker is out for health reasons
- **Employer-provided physicians** to suggest appropriate accommodations and improve the flow of communication between employees, supervisors, and HR staff
- **Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs)** to help employees resolve their mental and behavioral health problems and overcome other personal obstacles that adversely affect their work performance
Public and nonprofit resources: Several employers singled out the Job Accommodation Network (JAN)\(^2\) and the Arkansas SAW/RTW program (see Box 2) as valuable supplements to their internal resources. Employers appreciated that these services were free and were easy to access.

Communication problems create challenges for employers

When an employee develops or discloses a health problem, a variety of stakeholders can influence the path forward. An employer’s ability to effectively communicate with all other stakeholders is a key factor influencing its ability to (1) understand what accommodations are needed, (2) provide those accommodations, and (3) facilitate the employee’s return to work if an extended absence has occurred. For many employers, poor communication with one or more stakeholders kept them from effectively assisting employees with health problems.

HR staff: HR staff play a key role in ensuring that employees receive timely accommodations to help them stay at work. Several of the employers we spoke with said that if communication between HR staff and other stakeholders was poor in quality or entirely lacking, employees often ended up leaving the workforce before any accommodations had been provided.

Supervisors: Several respondents credited supervisors with playing an important role in identifying employees who were struggling with health problems and helping these employees get the support they needed to keep working. Because supervisors have the most direct contact with employees, they can be crucial to ensuring that communication flows properly so employees can be accommodated and retained. In a number of cases, however, supervisors failed to communicate effectively with HR. Several HR staff expressed their frustration with supervisors who failed to promptly notify them of employees with health needs, and said supervisors needed more training on communicating about and providing accommodations.

Doctors: An employer’s ability to get information from doctors about their employees’ health problems affected the extent to which the employer identified and provided appropriate accommodations. Twelve

---

\(^2\) JAN, a free online resource and consulting service for employers and individuals with disabilities, is funded by the U.S. Department of Labor’s Office of Disability Employment Policy.
out of the 14 employers we interviewed required employees who were taking leave or requesting accommodations for health conditions to give the employer notes or paperwork from doctors. Some respondents said they had trouble getting this information from doctors or interpreting the information they did get. However, respondents suggested several ways to overcome the challenges of communicating with doctors: training employees on documentation from a doctor; using a company doctor (if there is one) who can communicate more easily with HR staff and supervisors; and, in rare instances, communicating directly with the employee’s personal doctor.

**Insurance providers:** When employees are seeking short- or long-term benefits that will help them resolve their health conditions, it is necessary for both the employer and the employee to correspond with insurance providers. In several cases, employers said insurance providers were roadblocks for employees seeking to obtain benefits. They also noted that delays in paperwork processing slowed down an employee’s receipt of short-term benefits.

## Employee characteristics make a difference

Employers’ accommodations of employees did not depend solely on community- and organization-level factors such as resources and communication processes. An employee could be more or less likely to receive accommodations based on his or her personal characteristics, such as tenure, work performance, role in the company, and type of health problem.

**Tenure:** Employees who had been with an organization four years or longer when they developed or disclosed a health problem were less likely than those who had worked for shorter periods to be terminated or leave their jobs for reasons related to their health problem. Employers cited three reasons why they made extra efforts for employees with long tenures: the investment they had already made in the employee, the loyalty demonstrated by the employee, and concerns about how an organization that does not retain long-term employees would be thought of in the community or by other employees.

**Work performance:** The quality of an employee’s work performance before the onset of a health problem also seemed to affect an employer’s efforts to accommodate and retain workers. Of the 14 employers we interviewed, six cited good performance as a

---

### Box 3. Accommodating workers with mental and behavioral health problems

**Employers in the study emphasized the difficulties of accommodating and retaining workers with mental and behavioral health problems.** Several employers tried to accommodate employees with these conditions by referring them to employee assistance programs, adapting their work schedules and duties, and providing short-term leave. Still, the majority of these workers ended up quitting or being terminated after an acute incident or relapse.

A few employers also said they had difficulty communicating with workers with mental and behavioral health problems as compared to employees with other health issues. One respondent noted, “I think [employees with mental health conditions] don’t expect [their employer] to be acting in their best interest. So when we call to find out how they are doing, they are really suspicious. It is really difficult to get information back.”

In addition, several respondents observed that some employees with mental health conditions withheld information about their health rather than asking for accommodations because they worried about being stigmatized or losing their job, or they were unaware of accommodations. One HR director noted that supervisor training can mitigate this problem:

> “Once we realized [people were leaving without seeking accommodations], we decided to make sure we were training our supervisors about ‘This is how you handle situations like this’… and making sure the departments are communicating with HR more when they see employees may be having issues.”

Although employers struggled to accommodate and retain employees with mental and behavioral health problems, streamlined communication and proactive outreach appeared to help workers with mental and behavioral health problems stay in the labor force.
Physically active versus sedentary work: Employers said it was more challenging to accommodate and retain workers in physically active roles (such as assembly line workers and health care professionals) than it was to accommodate those in sedentary roles (such as financial specialists and clerical workers). An HR director at a health services organization said her "hands were tied" when a worker in a physical role developed a back problem: "The employee missed a lot of work and could not do his job from home, so we sent a letter to his physician … We had a series of questions—what job duties can [the employee] not complete, what accommodations, if any, could we make, how much weight can he lift." When the doctor could not say how long it would take for the condition to improve and could not identify accommodations that could help the employee to stay on in his role, the employee was terminated.

Type of health problem: The most challenging health problems for employers to accommodate were mental and behavioral health conditions (see Box 3), terminal illnesses, and severe health problems that hindered workers’ ability to perform basic activities of daily living. Conversely, employers described musculoskeletal conditions and conditions that could be addressed with ergonomic adjustments as “low-hanging fruit” because of their relatively low cost and the fact that employees with these conditions tended to have a better ability to identify the technology they needed the employer to purchase.

Implications for policy

Employers need appropriate resources and effective communication strategies to accommodate workers. Policy interventions designed to bolster employers’ resources (like the ARS SAW/RTW program) and advance the flow of information (such as programs that provide case management services for workers with health problems) should help employers who need assistance in these areas, assuming the employers know about them. However, our findings suggest that the employees’ own characteristics also play a pivotal role in whether employers make real efforts to accommodate and retain workers. Even when community- and organization-level factors are the same, employers take different actions for different employees, depending on the employee’s tenure, work performance, role, and health problem.

This has important implications for existing programs such as the ARS SAW/RTW program and recent early intervention policy proposals—such as the Health and Work Service proposed by Christian et al. (2016) and the Employment/Eligibility Services proposed by Stapleton et al. (2016). When early intervention programs require employers to get involved, the designers of the intervention (and those who deliver it) should take into account the different ways that employers treat employees with new health problems. For example, employers may quickly take advantage of new intervention services when an employee with long tenure, high performance levels, and/or a sedentary job develops a health problem that appears straightforward to accommodate, but they may expend less effort when other employees develop health problems, focusing only on maintaining statutory compliance. Policymakers may want to consider strategies for reaching employees—for example, those with short tenure or perceived poor performance—who may be overlooked by employers with limited resource
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